



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET I		CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/003,991 11/02/2001		Α	Amitava Gupta	INT-96	6081		
27777	7590 10/21	2003		EXAM	EXAMINER		
PHILIP S. JOHNSON &		SUGARMA	SUGARMAN, SCOTT J				
	ON & JOHNSON	PLAZA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003				2873			

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/003,991		GUPTA ET AL					
		Examiner		Art Unit	<u>]:</u>				
		Scott J. Sugarm	an	2873	V				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply			orrespondence addre	ss				
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOn is ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steeply received by the Office later than three months after the mad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, ho	ever, may a reply be time nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this common (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	30 July 2003 .							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-f	inal.						
3) 🗌 Dispositi									
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the applica	tion.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from conside	ration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-5,8-20,23 and 24 is/are rejected								
7)🛛	Claim(s) 6, 7, 21 and 22 is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election require	ement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.							
10) 🔲 🗆	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ad	ccepted or b) dbjec	ted to by the Exar	niner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		-						
11)🛛 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>30</u>	<i>July 2003</i> is: a)⊠ :	approved b) dis	sapproved by the Exa	miner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office a	ction.						
12) 🔲 🧵	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
a)[All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been rec	eived.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been rec	eived in Application	on No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papelication from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		ge				
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a		•		-PPX				
•	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	•			plication).				
15) 🗌 A	☐ The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	•							
Attachment	` '	_							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s			(PTO-413) Paper No(s). ₂ Patent Application (PTO-15					
I.S. Patent and Tr PTOL-326 (Re	ademark Office ev. 04-01) Office	e Action Summary		Part of Pa	per No. 8				

Application/Control Number: 10/003,991

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5, 8-20, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jiang et al, of record. Jiang et al teaches a multifocal lens ([0041]) and the method for making it, where the lens has deposited on at least a portion of a surface of a substrate at least one layer of a surface forming amount of a high refractive index material ([0046], [0049]). The surface can be progressive ([0041]). Since there can be an inorganic anti-abrasion hard coating ([0083],[0086]) made of the same claimed materials, it is also inherently high index. The recitation of "capable of" in claim 12 is not given any patentable weight, since this property or feature is not positively recited.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6, 7, 21 and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,991

Art Unit: 2873

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 21 and 22 are considered allowable for reasons set forth in paper number 5 regarding claims 6 and 7.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 30, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that there is no disclosure of a high index material.

As noted in the rejection above, Jiang et al utilizes the same claimed inorganic material ([0083],[0086]) and would inherently be high index.

Drawings

The proposed drawings submitted July 30, 2003 have been approved (including the description addition to the specification).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/003,991

Art Unit: 2873

Page 4

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott J. Sugarman whose telephone number is (703)308-4821.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Scott J. **S**ugarman

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2873